

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,274	02/21/2002	Ken Masumitsu	JP920000471US1	9785
48062 7590 09/10/2008 RYAN, MASON & LEWIS, LLP EXAMINER				IINER
1300 POST ROAD SUITE 205 FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			CHOWDHURY, SUMAIYA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ,			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/081,274 MASUMITSU ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

	SUMAIYA A. CHOWDHURY	2623					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>SUMAIYA A. CHOWDHURY</u> .	(3) <u>Jim Janniello</u> .						
(2) Joseph Ustaris.	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>09 September 2008</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Abecassis.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With respect to claim 1, it appears that Abecassis does not teach "wherein the digest server calculates an importance level". Upon submission of arguments by Applicant, the Examiner will further review the application and issue an Office Action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							